

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,342	10/23/2001	Michael Sogard	NIKOP027/PA0427	7706
22852 7.	590 01/04/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			SHIBUYA, MARK LANCE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		1639	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/038,342	SOGARD, MICHAEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark L. Shibuya	1639
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 S</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 33-41,43,44 and 47-52 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 33-41, 43, 44, 47-52 are subject to re	wn from consideration.	ent.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be a second or be a	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-052) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 10/038,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 33-41, 43, 44, 47-52 are pending.

Amendments

- 2. The claims were amended, submitted, filed with arguments and entered 5/17/2005, but were found non-responsive for being drawn to a different invention (see Office communication, mailed 8/3/2005). These claims, as currently amended 5/17/2005, were amended again and filed 9/1/2005, with arguments.
- 3. In the interest of compact prosecution the claims entered 9/1/2005 will be examined and are subject to the species presented here below. Restriction is proper, as claims 33-41, 43, 44, 47-52 have never been examined.
- 4. The examiner respectfully notes that unresponsive claims, entered 5/17/2005, were filed with arguments. It is unclear if or how those arguments pertain to the currently amended claims and their accompanying arguments, entered 9/1/2005. The examiner respectfully requests that the applicant make clear on the record, which arguments, filed 8/3/2005, in response to the previous Office action, mailed 2/18/2005, are currently in effect and to what extent.

Election/Restrictions

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A detectable label that is (a) a luminescent label, (b) fluorescent label, (c) a primary label or (d) a secondary label.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 33, 47-49 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/038,342

Art Unit: 1639

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark L. Shibuya whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0806. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark L. Shibuya

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 1639

ms